

Overcoming the Challenge of SAS Numeric Date Comparisons

Shikha Sreshtha, Covance by Labcorp

ABSTRACT

The outputs from the PROC COMPARE are tedious to work with especially when handling SAS numeric dates in huge datasets. The SAS dates are essentially numbers, that is, the number of days between 01JAN1960 to the input date and with the FORMAT statement, the date variables are only displayed in one of the standard date formats. When comparing numeric dates in clinical formats of standard e8601da10. using PROC COMPARE, all we see are frustrating little stars in the list file. To the rescue, are these huge array of options that the COMPARE procedure comes with. When faced with record-SAS date differences, most programmers turn to temporary data sub-setting and patches of code to determine the key variables to locate where the issue lies. All this effort to identify the one tiny needle in stack of hay and inform the 'base' programmer of the intended variable value. With the help of a handy SAS user-defined macro, we can do a side-by-side comparison of the SAS numeric dates in the output dataset and shift the 'compare' programmers focus to do a comprehensive review. The output dataset from the macro is concise and displays the actual numeric dates that makes the interpretation easy. The macro is designed to highlight the key variables for which the record SAS date differences exist and do a side-by-side comparison in a dataset format rather than a list file format.

INTRODUCTION

To confirm the authenticity of the the 'base' programmers' dataset, the validation programmer braces themselves to jump in the compare field and look for the discrepancies. If the validation process isn't already a hassle as is, the comparison of SAS numeric dates is in itself a notorious task. Upon further checks, it's clear that the SAS numeric date comparison is not cumbersome for all formats but definitely for dates in e8601da10. formats. When dealing with SAS dates, users should be very well aware of the difference between how a SAS date is stored and how it is displayed. SAS date are stored as a value that represents the number of days between January 1, 1960, and the input date. Now this difference value will have to be formatted to display the dates in a desired manner.

LET THE COMPARISON BATTLE BEGIN

PROC COMPARE is a powerful tool to perform validation checks. No matter how mammoth or tiny your dataset be, it isn't stamped a hundred percent correct unless the dataset undergoes the validation process. With a standard compare the programmer's task is to check for the list file to detect the differences in the 'base' and 'validation' datasets and pass on the information to the base programmer. Before this step, the validator also ascertains WHY the mismatch occurred to assure WHAT changes will result in the correct variable value. This task is a pain when SAS dates have to be compared; the issue that we'll look in deep in the next sections. In all the further references the dates are in e8601da10. format where we encountered the issue. The COMPARE procedure works very well with dates in other formats like DATE9., DDMMYY10. and more.

CHARACTER DATES COMPARISON

Since we are concentrating only on the date handling in the SAS datasets, here is a comparison of raw character date variables. Below list file comparison is satisfying to look at since one can visually have a look at the observation/row number where the issue lies and the two different date values in the variable DATE1_A and DATE2_A.

USUBJID	DATE1_A	DATE2_A
A1	15JUL1985	31DEC2017
A2	15AUG1974	31DEC2017
A3	15JUL1980	31DEC2017
A4	15OCT1991	01APR2016
A5	15APR1985	05APR2018

USUBJID	DATE1_A	DATE2_A
A1	15JUL1985	31DEC2017
A2	15AUG1974	20DEC2006
A3	15JUL1980	03MAR2010
A4	17APR1997	01APR2016
A5	15APR1985	05APR2018

Figure 1. Base and compare datasets with character date values

```

The COMPARE Procedure
Comparison of WORK.OLD with WORK.NEW
(Method=EXACT)

Value Comparison Results for Variables
-----
Obs || Base Value      Compare Value
    || DATE2_A         DATE2_A
-----
    || -----
2   || 31DEC2017       20DEC2006
3   || 31DEC2017       03MAR2010
-----

Obs || Base Value      Compare Value
    || DATE1_A         DATE1_A
-----
    || -----
4   || 15OCT1991       17APR1997
-----

```

Figure 2. List file generated with compare procedure

NUMERIC DATES COMPARISON IN A FORMAT OTHER THAN E8601DA10. FORMAT

This too is a passable example since the SAS dates in numeric DATE9. format is compared without any warnings and a clean appealing list file is generated.

USUBJID	DATE1	DATE2
A1	15JUL1985	31DEC2017
A2	15AUG1974	31DEC2017
A3	15JUL1980	31DEC2017
A4	15OCT1991	01APR2016
A5	15APR1985	05APR2018

USUBJID	DATE1	DATE2
A1	15JUL1985	31DEC2017
A2	15AUG1974	20DEC2006
A3	15JUL1980	03MAR2010
A4	17APR1997	01APR2016
A5	15APR1985	05APR2018

Figure 3. Base and compare datasets with numeric date values

```

The COMPARE Procedure
Comparison of WORK.OLD with WORK.NEW
(Method=EXACT)

Value Comparison Results for Variables
-----
Obs || Date 1
    || Base   Compare
    || DATE1 DATE1   Diff.   % Diff
-----
4   || 15OCT1991 17APR1997   2011   17.3213
-----

Obs || Date 2
    || Base   Compare
    || DATE2 DATE2   Diff.   % Diff
-----
2   || 31DEC2017 20DEC2006   -4029  -19.0191
3   || 31DEC2017 03MAR2010   -2860  -13.5008
-----

```

Figure 4. List file generated with compare procedure

NUMERIC DATES COMPARISON IN E8601DA10. FORMAT

For two datasets differing in SAS numeric dates in e860da10. format (blue boxes), an awful looking list file comes up along with a warning. At least the warning gives us a fair idea of WHY the appearance of stars in the list file.

WARNING: The requested format could not be applied successfully because the Value Comparison Results report restricts the variable's column width to 9 and this format requires a larger minimum width.

Figure 5. Warning in log file when comparing numeric dates in e8601da10. Format

△ USUBJID	⊕ DATE1	⊕ DATE2
A1	1985-07-15	2017-12-31
A2	1974-08-15	2017-12-31
A3	1980-07-15	2017-12-31
A4	1991-10-15	2016-04-01
A5	1985-04-15	2018-04-05

△ USUBJID	⊕ DATE1	⊕ DATE2
A1	1985-07-15	2017-12-31
A2	1974-08-15	2006-12-20
A3	1980-07-15	2010-03-03
A4	1997-04-17	2016-04-01
A5	1985-04-15	2018-04-05

Figure 6. Base and compare datasets with numeric date values

SAS numeric dates, that are formatted in e8601da10. for display gives us the below comparison in list file. Let's see what information can we gather from this comparison sheet;

- there is mismatch at observation no. 4 for DATE1 variable. The difference between the mismatched dates being 2011 days but **actual dates not visible**
- mismatches at observation 2 and 3 for DATE2 variable. The difference between the mismatched dates being -4029 and -2860 days respectively (negative difference implies the comparison dates are smaller than base dates) and **actual dates not visible**

```

The COMPARE Procedure
  Comparison of WORK.OLD with WORK.NEW
    (Method=EXACT)

  Value Comparison Results for Variables

-----
Obs  ||  Date 1
     ||  Base   Compare
     ||  DATE1  DATE1   Diff.   % Diff
-----
  4  ||  *****
     ||  *****
     ||  2011   17.3213
-----

-----
Obs  ||  Date 2
     ||  Base   Compare
     ||  DATE2  DATE2   Diff.   % Diff
-----
  2  ||  *****
     ||  *****
     ||  -4029  -19.0191
  3  ||  *****
     ||  *****
     ||  -2860  -13.5008
-----
    
```

Figure 7. List file generated with compare procedure

The comparison file does not give us enough information to take next steps. As a validator it would be ideal to know related information like the observation number and details of other variables on the mismatched row. Since there is minimal communication between the base and validation programmer

throughout the buildup of final datasets it becomes imperative to give just the right amount of information to the base programmer to make corrections to the mismatched date difference.

Here the user has two options:

- Give the mismatched date variables another format and compare. This is the most efficient way to work around the issue, if you have the flexibility to temporarily format the dates in the 'base' programmer dataset, bearing in mind the format should be such which does not yield a variable column length restriction while comparison.

- Another alternative would be to make use of the COMPARE procedure options which gives us a better look of the comparison file. In the below snapshots we have used few options which are detailed
 - o OUTBASE option writes an observation to the output data set for each observation in the base data set
 - o OUTCOMP option writes an observation to the output data set for each observation in the comparison data set
 - o OUTDIF option writes an observation to the output data set for each pair of matching observations. The values in the observation include values for the differences between the values in the pair of observations.
 - o TRANSPOSE option prints the reports of value differences by observation instead of by variable.

```
proc compare base=old compare=new listall outbase outcomp outdif transpose  
            out=comp_out;  
run;
```

Comparison Results for Observations				
_OBS_1=2 _OBS_2=2:				
Variable	Base Value	Compare	Diff.	% Diff
DATE2	2017-12-31	2006-12-20	-4029.000000	-19.019071
_OBS_1=3 _OBS_2=3:				
Variable	Base Value	Compare	Diff.	% Diff
DATE2	2017-12-31	2010-03-03	-2860.000000	-13.500755
_OBS_1=4 _OBS_2=4:				
Variable	Base Value	Compare	Diff.	% Diff
DATE1	1991-10-15	1997-04-17	2011.000000	17.321275

Figure 8. List file generated with various options in compare procedure

It is nice to finally have a look at the list file with actual dates for the mismatched rows.

We can pitch in another option of the ID statement which displays the key variable for easy detection.

```
proc compare base=old compare=new listall outbase outcomp outdif transpose  
            out=comp_out;  
            id usubjid;  
run;
```

Comparison Results for Observations				
USUBJID=A2:				
Variable	Base Value	Compare	Diff.	% Diff
DATE2	2017-12-31	2006-12-20	-4029.000000	-19.019071
USUBJID=A3:				
Variable	Base Value	Compare	Diff.	% Diff
DATE2	2017-12-31	2010-03-03	-2860.000000	-13.500755
USUBJID=A4:				
Variable	Base Value	Compare	Diff.	% Diff
DATE1	1991-10-15	1997-04-17	2011.000000	17.321275

Figure 9. Enhanced list file generated with ID option in compare procedure

The only drawback being that the TRANSPOSE option prints the differences in the list file where we can see the side by side comparison of mismatched dates in list file. With the **OUT=** and **OUTNOEQUAL=** option one gets to see the mismatched dates in output dataset but in a vertical fashion as below. If OUTNOEQUAL option is omitted, then the output dataset lists out all available data in the base and comparison dataset which might not be necessary.

△ _TYPE_	⊕ _OBS_	△ USUBJID	⊕ DATE1	⊕ DATE2
BASE	2	A2	1974-08-15	2017-12-31
COMPARE	2	A2	1974-08-15	2006-12-20
BASE	3	A3	1980-07-15	2017-12-31
COMPARE	3	A3	1980-07-15	2010-03-03
BASE	4	A4	1991-10-15	2016-04-01
COMPARE	4	A4	1997-04-17	2016-04-01

Figure 10. All date values for variable DATE1/DATE2 are displayed.

Under a time-crunch scenario this would likely be excess information that you might not be interested in. A small statement in macro gets rid of this unwelcome information and the final dataset will look as below.

△ USUBJID	△ OBS_NUM	△ VARIABLE	⊕ BASE	⊕ COMPARE
A2	Obs2	DATE2	2017-12-31	2006-12-20
A3	Obs3	DATE2	2017-12-31	2010-03-03
A4	Obs4	DATE1	1991-10-15	1997-04-17

Figure 11. Only mismatched date values for DATE1/DATE2 variable are displayed

This macro usage can be extended to multiple identifiers and multiple numeric date variables. Below is an example of the same macro used on a huge Laboratory dataset.

Value Comparison Results for Variables						
USUBJID	PARAM	AVISIT	Analysis Date		Diff.	% Diff
			Base ADT	Compare ADT		
AB-001	Eosinophils	OBS 1 - Month 0	*****	*****	-18.0000	-0.0904
AB-010	LDH	OBS 1 - Month 0	*****	*****	10.0000	0.0480
AB-210	Basophils	OBS 1 - Month 0	*****	*****	50.0000	0.2380

Figure 12. Output from PROC COMPARE with 3 variables (USUBJID, PARAM, AVISIT) in the ID statement.

△ USUBJID	△ OBS_NUM	△ PARAM	△ AVISIT	△ VARIABLE	⊕ BASE	⊕ COMPARE
AB-001	Obs201290	Eosinophils	OBS 1 - Month 0	ADT	2014-07-07	2014-06-19
AB-010	Obs201442	LDH	OBS 1 - Month 0	ADT	2017-01-03	2017-01-13
AB-210	Obs201531	Basophils	OBS 1 - Month 0	ADT	2017-07-05	2017-08-24

Figure 13. Output from the macro

CONCLUSION

To deliver a high quality output with correct information, the validator is burdened with the task of doing a comprehensive review of the datasets. Over time we have noted that there are very many variables dependent on dates. So, if the dates are correctly mapped, the dependent variables will be populated accordingly. A tiny macro can actually help you in this regard and help focus the validators attention towards other in-depth checks of the dataset.

APPENDIX

Source code for %COMP_DT set-up:

```
%macro comp_dt(basdsn=, compdsn=, key=, key1=, vars=);
  proc compare base=&basdsn compare=&compdsn listall outbase outcomp
    out=comp_out(keep=_type_ _obs_ &key &key1 &vars);
    var &key &key1 &vars;
  run;

  proc sort data=comp_out out=comp_outz;
    by &key _obs_ &key1;
  run;

  proc transpose data=comp_outz out=comp_tran;
    by &key _obs_ &key1;
    id _type_;
    var &vars;
  run;

  data comp_tran_dt(drop=_label_ _obs_);
    retain &key &key1 obs_num _name_ base compare;
    set comp_tran;
    length obs_num $200.;
    obs_num='Obs' || strip(put(_obs_,8.));
    if base=. and compare=. then delete;
    if base ne compare;
    rename _name_ = variable;
    format &vars e8601da10.; ** optional format statement **;
  run;

%mend comp_dt;
```

Macro requirement:

basdsn – input base programmer dataset
compdsn – input comparison programmer dataset
key – input primary key variable
key1 – input list of secondary key variables
vars – list of SAS numeric dates to be compared

CONTACT INFORMATION

Your comments and questions are valued and encouraged. Contact the author at:

Shikha Sreshtha
shikha.sreshtha@covance.com