

Toward Adoption of Agile Software Development in Clinical Trials

Troy Martin Hughes

ABSTRACT

Agile methodologies for software development, including the Scrum framework, have grown in use and popularity since the 2001 Manifesto for Agile Software Development. More than having obtained ubiquity, Agile demonstrably has defined software development in the 21st century with its core foci in collaboration, value-added software, and flexibility gained through incremental development and delivery. Although Agile principles can easily be extrapolated to other disciplines, Agile-related nomenclature, literature, application, and employment descriptions often remain focused on software development alone. In SAS® data analytic development—which often typifies software development that occurs within the clinical trials/pharmaceutical industry—developers and other practitioners also build complex, enduring software and data infrastructure, but often for their own or their team's use, and usually with the intent of transforming data into knowledge and data-driven decisions. And, because these outcomes are more highly valued than their underlying code, clinical trials organizations are more likely to focus on data quality than code quality. Thus, because software development methodologies such as Agile focus on programming and the programming environment and process, they can be overlooked by clinical trials organizations who view software development as a tool, not a product or outcome. Notwithstanding, every tool deserves to be wielded effectively and, to that end, this text introduces Agile development for use in clinical trials organizations. Moreover, the paucity of references to Agile or any software development life cycle (SDLC) or development methodology within clinical trials is demonstrated through examination of SAS® user-published white papers, SAS® Institute books, and clinical trials employment postings advertised on Indeed.com.

INTRODUCTION

The Manifesto for Agile Software Development (aka, the Agile Manifesto) was cultivated by a group of seventeen software development gurus who met in Snowbird, Utah, in 2001 to elicit and define a body of knowledge that prescribes best practices for software development. The Agile Manifesto states:

We are uncovering better ways of developing software by doing it and helping others do it.
Through this work we have come to value:

- Individuals and Interactions over processes and tools
- Working software over comprehensive documentation
- Customer Collaboration over contract negotiation
- Responding to change over following a plan

That is, while there is value in the items on the right, we value the items on the left more.ⁱ

In addition, twelve Principles of Agile Softwareⁱⁱ augment the Agile Manifesto and are espoused by methodologies that embrace Agile, including Scrum, Lean, Extreme Programming (XP), Crystal, Scaled Agile Framework (SAFe), Kanban, and others. Agile has been instrumental in accelerating and transforming software development teams and largely has supplanted the classic Waterfall methodology in the software development arena. Major corporations such as Amazonⁱⁱⁱ, Yahoo^{iv}, Marriott^v, and even SAS^{vi} have demonstrated the revolutionary impact of Agile on information technology (IT) innovation and implementation. Within the federal government, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) in a multi-agency study identified “modular development” of Agile as a best practice^{vii}, while agencies including the Department of Defense (DoD)^{viii}, Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI)^{ix}, Department of Veterans Affairs^x, Department of Homeland Security (DHS)^{xi}, and others have validated the role of Agile in positively transforming fledgling or failing IT projects.

While the benefits of Agile are clear and convincing, its application and adoption across the software development community has not been without bias. Agile-related nomenclature, training, literature, and employment postings often depict an idealized “developer” archetype who codes incessantly in one or more object-oriented programming (OOP) languages, whose value is measured by the software he creates, and who may or may not have an unhealthy addiction to Zombie-related lore, legend, and eBay auctions. In reality, software engineers and developers represent a much broader segment and include many professionals who might not even embrace the “developer” community or title. Biostatisticians, statistical programmers, data analysts, financial analysts, clinical researchers, data scientists, physicians, psychologists, and an abundance of other professionals instead often view software development not as endpoint, but rather as a means to that end—a tool to support the empirical quest for truth. Thus, these professionals—while comprising both primary and ancillary developer roles—may be less likely than so-called

"traditional" developers to gravitate toward the software-centric, unnecessarily narrow interpretations of Agile that unfortunately persist.

Because SAS developers embody such a diverse array of roles in equally diverse organizations and industries, they often derive business value not from code they create but rather from derivative data products—including data sets, analyses, analytic reports, and data-driven decisions. And, because they possess not only this technical expertise but also the business savvy and domain expertise to further transform data into information and knowledge, they can more flexibly structure and adapt code to fulfill ultimate business intent. This end-user model, in which SAS practitioners are both the author and consumer of their code, can tremendously advantage a team or organization because of the power and flexibility it inherently provides. However, a potential vulnerability of end-user development is a tendency for practitioners to focus on growing their *domain* knowledge and skills to the detriment of their *technical* knowledge and skills. Thus, a clinical researcher whose responsibilities have evolved into building an enduring SAS infrastructure while administering his department's SAS server should commensurately be growing his technical knowledge toward established systems and software engineering best practices—including software development methodologies like Agile that maximize his team's development environment and potential.

Thus, software development best practices include not only *technical* solutions—such as the efficient use of hash joins, modular code, or code that can be extensively repurposed with ease—but also *process* solutions that describe software development methods, principles, and environments that most engender success. Traditional software developers—whose education, training, and experience lie in software engineering, systems engineering, computer science, or similar disciplines—are more likely to be aware of, already embracing, and benefitting from Agile development methodologies and principles. Notwithstanding this inherent advantage, cross-functional developers who occupy diverse roles throughout clinical trials and research organizations can and should also benefit from Agile.

The fear, however, is that they do not. This text demonstrates a trend that SAS professionals are less likely to espouse Agile, as demonstrated by clinical trials job postings, SAS user-published white papers, and SAS, Inc. books that reference clinical trials and healthcare. While no further introduction to Agile, its nomenclature, artifacts, or implementation is provided in this text, a separate text by the author introduces its more basic tenants, processes, and benefits to data analytic-focused developers and organizations: *When Software Development is Your Means Not Your End: Abstracting Agile Methodologies for End-User Development and Analytic Application*^{xii}. Moreover, for a more traditional and software development-centric introduction to Agile, a bevy of excellent publications, trainings, and open source material exists to guide organizations unabashedly toward success.

CLINICAL TRIALS SAS JOB DESCRIPTIONS

A breadth of positions falls under the vast clinical trials/pharmaceutical umbrella, comprising all levels of technical expertise. Studies require planning, design, administration, certification, oversight, and auditing—to name a few activities—and often persist for years. At the heart of studies are enrollment data, biographical data, treatment data, outcomes data, and other data that must be collected, cleaned, transformed, validated, analyzed, interpreted, visualized, documented, and archived. This is to say that even among the subset of clinical trials professionals that utilize SAS software, great discrepancies in technical skill and usage will always exist. At one extreme lie the hardcore SAS software developers—they may have neither interest in nor domain knowledge of clinical trials (despite working in the industry), yet understand the ins and outs of SQL, SAS macros, and may even include accidental semicolons in text messages to friends.

At the other end of the spectrum lie researchers, clinicians, and other users of SAS who may be comfortable clicking their way through Enterprise Guide menu selections, but who would never consider themselves to be programmers. And across this wide spectrum are clinical trials coordinators, clinical research associates, biostatisticians, auditors, software developers, system architects, physicians, pharmacologists, nurses, and others, all of whom may be required to have varying knowledge of SAS. Thus, while it would be unfair to expect all SAS users within the clinical trials industry to adopt or even benefit from Agile, a large percent of practitioners could benefit from its methods and principles, including their application to software development as well as to a broader extrapolation to other (i.e., non-software) project development initiatives and activities.

A 2014 study by the author examined a five-month cohort of over 80,000 employment postings from the popular job site Indeed.com which demonstrated significant differences between positions mentioning data analytic languages (SAS, SPSS, or R) and object-oriented third generation languages (3GLs) (Java or Python.)^{xiii} Indeed.com was selected as a population proxy due to its vastness, open interface, and direct access to data via Python scripts. Relevant to this text, the 2014 study demonstrated that while approximately 25 percent of Java or Python postings referenced Agile, less than 4 percent of SAS positions did. These results were unfortunate given the tremendous advantage that Agile can yield to developers within the SAS community, but not altogether unexpected given the focus within the Agile community on OOP languages and the focus within the SAS community on data quality.

To gain additional insight into the use of Agile specifically within the clinical trials industry, additional Python scripts extracted and analyzed data over a two month period from February 1 through March 31, 2015. All job descriptions

posted in the US that included the word "SAS" were extracted, comprising 15,702 unique positions (by Indeed.com job ID as well as SHA-256 hash checksum.) An additional 1,884 jobs were eliminated due to duplicate hash values of the job description, which often appeared when companies would repetitively post an identical job every day or two. An additional 399 jobs were removed because they did not reference SAS software but rather other instances of "SAS." For example, some of these postings instead referenced constructs such as "school administration/supervision", "second avenue subway", and "space and airborne systems."

Of the 15,702 SAS positions, 22.3 percent (N=3,495) had either "clinical" or "pharmaceutical" (or derivative constructs) in the job description, with the most common job titles including variations of:

- clinical data manager
- clinical programmer
- clinical data associate
- clinical data analyst
- clinical informatics manager
- lead clinical data manager
- clinical SAS programmer
- clinical project manager
- senior clinical data manager
- contract clinical SAS programmer

While a job title is never a perfect proxy for a verbose employment description (just as a job posting rarely perfectly represents the *actual* employment responsibilities, requirements, or environment), the above job titles as well as other frequently encountered positions demonstrated face validity with positions that would be found in the clinical trials industry. Thus, all subsequent analyses were performed on this data subset. As a caveat, this subset undoubtedly will include some positions that only glancingly mention SAS and which in reality may not even utilize SAS. Future steps to refine this model, thus, should incorporate a measure of "SASiness" sufficient to demonstrate the degree to which SAS is central to the position. For example, a "SASier" position might reference "SAS" in the job title, or otherwise include multiple references to SAS, specific SAS modules, or SAS certifications that would be required.

Of the 3,495 clinical trials positions, only 2.3 percent (N=79) mentioned Agile, a slightly lower percent than was found in the 2014 study, but otherwise consistent with the propensity that SAS-related positions do not explicitly mention the software development life cycle (SDLC) or specific methodologies. Because so few clinical SAS positions did reference Agile, no further conclusions about this subpopulation were made, such as whether geography, job title, or other responsibilities or requirements influenced the presence or absence of Agile. This underscores the necessity to draw larger samples in the future to facilitate more granular analyses while minimizing sample bias. For example, given the tendency for Agile nomenclature, literature, and training to present the traditional "developer" archetype mentioned above, one would expect SAS positions in clinical trials whose job titles most mimicked this archetype (e.g., developer, software engineer, programmer, systems architect) to more likely espouse Agile than other more cross-functional, traditionally less technical roles.

Only Agile-related phrases that unambiguously referred to the software development methodology (i.e., "big" Agile) were included. Thus, four positions that ambiguously mentioned "agile" (i.e., "little" agile) were excluded because they could have been speaking only of "flexibility." Thus, while "agile teams" or "agile environment" were excluded if no other mention of Agile was present, the majority of references to Agile (N=79) were included. Some contextual examples from these postings include:

- good software development practices (SDLC, Agile ETC), peer reviews, unit testing, and other software quality practices
- experience with Agile development methodologies a plus
- participate in daily SCRUM meeting and support the AGILE methodology
- strong understanding of software development methodologies (RUP, Agile, or other SDLC)
- development efforts using Agile development frameworks such as Scrum or Kanban
- familiar with agile software development lifecycle and quality management

An additional 3.8 percent (N=132) of positions that did not reference Agile did encouragingly reference the SDLC or "software development methodology." Thus, approximately 6 percent of the clinical trials positions explicitly referenced the SDLC in some form. While this does not denote that the remaining 94 percent of positions develop software in a vacuum or devoid of process methodology, one has to wonder what methodologies or principles are espoused in those remaining positions and their respective organizations.

To that end, the inclusion of information about a particular SDLC, software development methodology, or project management philosophy espoused by an organization or required by a position can tremendously advantage the hiring process. Moreover, in more technical billets that do require some level of software development, a description of development activities is warranted, and should include quality assurance aspects of the SDLC, such as code

reviews, code integration, software testing, and verification and validation against stated software requirements. This information demonstrates a commitment by the hiring organization to quality, software industry best practices, and the expectation and intent to hire equally stellar candidates. And, regardless of job title, roles, or responsibilities—both commensurate with the degree of software development focus and responsibilities—developers, end-user developers, and SAS practitioners of all flavors should understand and embody the SDLC and software development best practices.

AGILE IN SAS USER-PUBLISHED LITERATURE

For decades, SAS software technical advancements and best practices have been promulgated by creative, forward-leaning practitioners who author and present white papers at regional and national conferences. These publications have tended to focus on a mix of SAS technical best practices and domain knowledge—in the case of PharmaSUG, specific to all things clinical or pharmaceutical. To a lesser extent, additional management and professional publication domains exist, as demonstrated in the PharmaSUG 2015 sections “Career Planning” and “Management & Support.”

Despite the breadth of topics within these publications, an often overlooked niche is the clinical trials software development environment itself, including the SDLC and software development methodologies and principles that are or should be upheld. Thus, while Agile has been chronicled in SAS clinical trials white papers for more than a decade, the publications are sparse, the mentions are few, and the endorsements lack the same vigor, tenacity, and shameless conviction that Agile enthusiasts espouse when writing about OOP languages. Nevertheless, they represent a consistent trend that hopefully continues to increase as Agile becomes more commonplace in clinical trials software development.

The following white papers, in order of publication date, reference Agile or Agile-related principles as applied within the clinical trials community:

- *SAS in clinical trials – A relook at project management, tools and software engineering (2014)*^{xiv}. Nandigama importantly notes that as SAS moves from “standalone application” instances to an integrated environment, the project management methodologies and tools must commensurately increase in rigor. Software development methodologies are described, including the Waterfall, Agile, and Scrum. In concert with these theories, Nandigama depicts the use of JIRA software for issue-, change-, and risk-management tracking and modeling. The woes of not espousing a standardized approach to enterprise SAS development are also described, including technical debt that can accumulate when low priority tasks are delayed.
- *Kaizen (2014)*^{xv}. Kumar describes the process and production methodology Kaizen (translated *change for better*) that espouses continuous quality improvement. Although not mentioned in the text, Agile methodologies often espouse both Kaizen and Lean principles of maximizing productivity through minimization of waste. The “Five-Why” method to elicit root cause analysis in risk management and resolution also is discussed.
- *Statistical Computing Environment Implementation – An Agile Approach (2013)*^{xvi}. Cozzolino describes the plight of pharmaceutical companies shifting toward enterprise solutions managed in statistical computing environments (SCEs.) The paper examines these advancements from the business process perspective but considers “process and technology together,” thus demonstrating benefits that iterative methodologies such as Agile can provide.
- *SAS® Data Management Techniques: Cleaning and transforming data for delivery of analytic datasets (2013)*^{xvii}. Schacherer explores definitions of and approaches to data quality. He describes practices that increase the robustness of SAS code, for example, by dynamically terminating a process and emailing an administrator if a prerequisite process has failed. Automation is also discussed with an example utilizing the Windows scheduler presented. In closing thoughts, he states his ambivalence toward specific software development methodologies—listing Agile and the Waterfall—and instead states that clear, open communication (tenet central to Agile) is paramount to success.
- *Building a Controlled Statistical Programming Environment (2013)*^{xviii}. Woo only mentions Agile in a couple paragraphs and never takes a stance for or against Agile or the Waterfall. More importantly, however, he champions standardized development processes that uphold principles of Agile, describing the SDLC and stating that “Formalizing the programming process requires integrating the SDLC.” This is critical because a development environment that lacks consistency will introduce variation, the antithesis of reliability. To that end, he describes configuration management, version management, formalized software testing, and code integration within a production environment.
- *Good Programming Practices in Healthcare: Creating Robust Programs (2012)*^{xix}. Nelson and Zhou enumerate 17 best practices amassed from their combined experience. Themes such as modularity, robustness, and reliability persist through this text. In addition to software best practices, they also describe software development methodologies and best practices, including test-driven development (TDD), Agile, Extreme Programming, Six Sigma, and defensive programming. Thus, they focus not only on the quality of the code, but moreover on the

quality of the coding environment. It provides a cursory yet informative introduction to SAS practitioners to development methodologies they might not have encountered.

- *Unit Testing as a Cornerstone of SAS® Application Development (2011)*^{xx}. Di Tommaso and Hoffmann-La Roche describe the importance of unit testing and automated testing, stating that while ad hoc or manual tests suffice for end-user development, this is “inappropriate for programs and results intended for others.” They present a standardized framework for unit testing that provides a generalizable, reusable capability and consistently formatted developer feedback.
- *Navigating a Major Regulatory Submission Project to Success (2007)*^{xxi}. Meier describes the undertaking involved in assembling a team and charting a path toward regulatory submission success. While the majority of the paper details logistics involved in team setup and organization, a single paragraph references that daily standup meetings—“borrowed from the Scrum framework”—were implemented and were highly successful in helping the team meet competing priorities and rapidly approaching deadlines.
- *Drawkcab Gnimargorp: Test-Driven Development with FUTS (2006)*^{xxii}. While Wright never mentions Agile, test-driven development (TDD) lies at the heart of his paper and is demonstrated through automatic testing paradigms. Thus, rather than building code first and testing later, tests are written first—often in SAS macro code—and actual code only subsequently is written. The paper also contrasts data analytic development, thus distinguishing SAS from many other languages, stating “SAS programs typically crunch through large amounts of data, perhaps in complex and arcane structures, and perhaps creating more data as output.”
- *Using Extreme Programming Processes in a SAS Environment (2005)*^{xxiii}. Mitchell introduces Extreme Programming concepts, nomenclature, and benefits in support of an FDA-focused SAS initiative. Despite being a succinct overview, the paper introduces concepts such as compliance testing against customer requirements, refactoring, coding standards, and continuous integration of new code into the enterprise code base, thus demonstrating the incremental delivery of business value, a core principle of SAS.
- *SASUnit: Automated Testing for SAS (2004)*^{xxiv}. Nelson introduces the SASUnit system that monitors and validates clinical research code, thus providing “testability, robustness, and manageability” to the software development process. The framework follows test-first design principles espoused in some Agile methodologies, such as Extreme Programming. More than introducing SASUnit, however, the paper emphasizes the importance of fault-tolerant code, event and error detection through exception handling, and the importance of automated and other testing paradigms.

AGILE IN SAS INSTITUTE LITERATURE

A private software company such as SAS, Inc. admittedly might be hesitant to espouse Agile in its publications as this could potentially bias readership, especially among readers who were either unfamiliar with or opposed to Agile, or among readers who simply were interested only in learning syntax. Thus, the majority of SAS books are published by the SAS Institute, and nearly all of these texts omit reference to Agile methodologies or even the SDLC, instead focusing on *technical (syntactical)* rather than *process* best practices. Books focused on open source software such as Java and Python conversely are published by hundreds of authors and publishers. Moreover, authors readily interweave SDLC processes and best practices throughout their texts to include Agile principles that can support developers and the development of quality code.

The glaring irony, however, is that SAS, Inc. itself uses Agile methodologies to build the software we all love so much! In Tim Arthur's *Agile Adoption: Measuring its Worth*, he describes the successful transition to Scrum that SAS underwent in 2007 which ultimately led to the development of the SAS Agile Framework, the SAS in-house implementation of Agile. Thus, with recognition and demonstration of the tremendous success of Agile within SAS, Inc., it remains a mystery why so few SAS Institute publications reference Agile or even the SDLC. A review of SAS Institute books covering clinical trials follows, with only two publications referencing Agile or the SDLC.

- *SAS Programming with Medicare Administrative Data, 2ed (2014)*^{xxv}. Readers may be deterred by the somewhat esoteric title referencing Medicare, but Gillingham presents cogent arguments toward the pursuit of quality code and a quality software development environment. Despite only mentioning Agile once to reflect that Agile “facilitates changes during the development of code,” he devotes sections toward planning, designing, and operationalizing a comprehensive SDLC approach. This includes aspects such as requirements generation, the role of quality assurance, and unit testing. While not the focus of the book, his depiction of and dedication to quality is a refreshing addition.
- *SAS Programming in the Pharmaceutical Industry, 2ed (2014)*^{xxvi}. This publication as well only mentions Agile once. However, Shostak admonishes readers, “I recommend that you follow a more traditional software development life-cycle model (SDLC) when developing a comprehensive, SAS macro-based reporting system. Unlike much of the one-time-only SAS programming that occurs for a clinical trial, you need to ensure that a general-purpose SAS macro system is robust enough to handle any problem that it encounters.” He adds, “If you get into the development of software systems, then learning about software development methodologies would be worth your time.” Kudos to Shostak for raising the bar!

The remainder of the SAS Institute clinical trials texts that were reviewed, while providing excellent technical and domain information and best practices, make no mention of the software development environment or software development methodologies such as Agile.

- Risk-Based Monitoring and Fraud Detection in Clinical Trials Using JMP and SAS (2014)^{xxvii}
- Implementing CDISC Using SAS: An End-to-End Guide (2012)^{xxviii}
- Common Statistical Methods for Clinical Research with SAS® Examples, 3ed (2010)^{xxx}
- Analysis of Observational Health Care Data Using SAS (2010)^{xxxi}
- Pharmaceutical Statistics Using SAS®: A Practical Guide (2007)^{xxxii}
- Analysis of Clinical Trials Using SAS®: A Practical Guide (2005)^{xxxiii}

CONCLUSION

That Agile is lauded as a best practice in software development is beyond refute and is demonstrated through hundreds of books and thousands of publications that span the last decade. And, despite the reliance of the clinical trials/pharmaceutical industry on SAS software development to drive and enable empirical research, a chasm exists between Agile and its implementation within clinical trials teams and organizations that develop SAS code. This disparity has been demonstrated through the analysis of clinical trials job descriptions, SAS user-authored white papers, and SAS Institute books, in the hopes of facilitating a bridge across this gap toward the union of Agile methodologies and SAS software development that underpins clinical trials.

REFERENCES

ⁱ The Manifesto for Agile Software Development. The Agile Alliance. Retrieved from <http://www.agilealliance.org/the-alliance/the-agile-manifesto/>.

ⁱⁱ The Twelve Principles of Agile Software. The Agile Alliance. Retrieved from <http://www.agilealliance.org/the-alliance/the-agile-manifesto/the-twelve-principles-of-agile-software/>.

ⁱⁱⁱ Atlas, A. Accidental Adoption: The Story of Scrum at Amazon.com. *2009 Agile Conference*. IEEE. August 2009, pp. 135-140.

^{iv} Chung, M. Agile at Yahoo! From the Trenches. *2009 Agile Conference*. IEEE. August 2009, pp. 113-118.

^v Fewell, J. Marriott's Agile Turnaround. *2009 Agile Conference*. IEEE. August 2009, pp. 219-222.

^{vi} Arthur, T. Agile Adoption: Measuring its Worth. *SAS Institute*. 2013. Retrieved from <http://support.sas.com/rnd/papers/2013/AgileAdoptionPaper.pdf>

^{vii} US Government Accountability Office. Report to the Subcommittee on Federal Financial Management, Government Information, Federal Services, and International Security, Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs United States Senate. Software Development: Effective Practices and Federal Challenges in Applying Agile Methods. GAO-12-681. July, 2012. Retrieved from <http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-12-681>

^{viii} Broadus, W. The Challenge of Being Agile in DoD. *Defense Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics*. January-February 2013.

^{ix} Fulgham, C., Johnson, J., Crandall, M., Jackson, L., Burrows, N. The FBI Gets Agile. Federal Bureau of Investigation. *IT Pro*, September/October 2011.

^x Thibodeau, P. Budget Woes Force Feds to Embrace Agile. *Computer World*. February 11, 2013.

^{xi} Thibodeau, P. DHS Shifts to Cloud, Agile Development. *Computer World*. April 8, 2013

^{xii} Hughes, Troy Martin. 2014. When Software Development is Your Means Not Your End: Abstracting Agile Methodologies for End-User Development and Analytic Application. *Western Users of SAS Software (WUSS)*.

^{xiii} Hughes, Troy Martin. 2014. Differentiating SAS Development from Third Generation Language (3GL) Object Oriented Programming (OOP): Lessons Learned from Indeed.com Employment Postings. *South Central SAS Users Group (SCSUG)*.

- ^{xiv} Nandigama, Sameera. 2014. SAS in clinical Trials – A relook at project management, tools and software engineering. 2014. *Pharmaceutical Users Software Exchange (PhUSE)*.
- ^{xv} Kumar, Usha. 2014. Kaizen. *PharmaSUG*.
- ^{xvi} Cozzolino, Gary. 2013. Statistical Computing Environment Implementation – An Agile Approach. *PharmaSUG*.
- ^{xvii} Schacherer, Chris. 2013. SAS® Data Management Techniques: Cleaning and transforming data for delivery of analytic datasets. *SAS Global Forum*.
- ^{xviii} Woo, Wayne. Building a Controlled Statistical Programming Environment. 2013. *Western Users of SAS Software (WUSS)*.
- ^{xix} Nelson, Gregory S. and Zhou, Jay. 2012. Good Programming Practices in Healthcare: Creating Robust Programs. *SAS Global Forum*.
- ^{xx} Di Tommaso, Dante and Hoffmann-La Roche, F. 2011. Unit Testing as a Cornerstone of SAS® Application Development. *Pharmaceutical Users Software Exchange (PhUSE)*.
- ^{xxi} Meier, Alan. 2007. Navigating a Major Regulatory Submission Project to Success. *SAS Global Forum*.
- ^{xxii} Wright, Jeff. 2006. Drawkcab Gnimmarginorp: Test-Driven Development with FUTS. *SAS Users Group International (SUGI) 31*.
- ^{xxiii} Mitchell, Brian. 2005. Using Extreme Programming Processes in a SAS Environment. *SAS Users Group International (SUGI) 30*.
- ^{xxiv} Nelson, Greg Barnes. 2004. SASUnit: Automated Testing for SAS. *PharmaSUG*.
- ^{xxv} Gillingham, Matthew. 2014. *SAS Programming with Medicare Administrative Data, 2ed*. Cary, NC. SAS Institute.
- ^{xxvi} Shostak, Jack. 2014. *SAS Programming in the Pharmaceutical Industry, 2ed*. Cary, NC. SAS Institute.
- ^{xxvii} Zink, Richard C. 2014. *Risk-Based Monitoring and Fraud Detection in Clinical Trials Using JMP and SAS*. Cary, NC. SAS Institute.
- ^{xxviii} Holland, Chris and Shostak, Jack. 2012. *Implementing CDISC Using SAS: An End-to-End Guide*. Cary, NC. SAS Institute.
- ^{xxix} Walker, Glenn and Shostak, Jack. 2010. *Common Statistical Methods for Clinical Research with SAS® Examples, 3ed*. Cary, NC. SAS Institute.
- ^{xxx} Faries, Douglas E; Obenchain, Robert; Haro, Josep Maria; Leon, Andrew C. 2010. *Analysis of Observational Health Care Data Using SAS*. Cary, NC. SAS Institute.
- ^{xxxi} Dmitrienko, Alex; Chuang-Stein, Christy; D'Agostino, Ralph. 2007. *Pharmaceutical Statistics Using SAS®: A Practical Guide*. Cary, NC. SAS Institute.
- ^{xxxii} Dmitrienko, Alex; Molenberghs, Geert; Chuang-Stein, Christy; Offen, Walter. 2005. *Analysis of Clinical Trials Using SAS®: A Practical Guide*. Cary, NC. SAS Institute.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Your comments and questions are valued and encouraged. Contact the author at:

Name: Troy Martin Hughes
E-mail: troymartinhughes@gmail.com

SAS and all other SAS Institute Inc. product or service names are registered trademarks or trademarks of SAS Institute Inc. in the USA and other countries. ® indicates USA registration.

Other brand and product names are trademarks of their respective companies.