ABSTRACT

Although merging is one of the most frequently performed operations when manipulating SAS datasets, there are many problems which can occur, some of which can be rather subtle. This paper examines several common issues, provides examples to illustrate what can go wrong and why, and discusses best practices to avoid unintended consequences when merging.

INTRODUCTION

Anyone who has spent much time programming with SAS has likely found themselves needing to combine data from multiple datasets into a single dataset. This is most commonly performed by using the MERGE statement within a DATA step. While the merge seems like a relatively simple and straightforward process, there are many traps waiting to snare the unsuspecting programmer.

In a seminal pair of papers, Foley (1997, 1998) catalogs some 28 potential traps related to merging. These range from rather mundane syntactical oversights to more esoteric matters relating to the inner workings of SAS. Some can be rather subtle and pernicious. In this paper, we will examine seven examples that highlight common problems, moving from the basic to the more complex:

1. Missing BY statement
2. Use of a SET statement instead of a MERGE statement
3. Unmatched BY variable values
4. The many-to-many merge
5. Mismatched BY variable lengths
6. Overlapping variables
7. The automatic retain

EXAMPLE 1: MISSING BY STATEMENT

THE DATA

For our first example, we have the following two SAS datasets:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLANET_SIZE Dataset</th>
<th>PLANET_DIST Dataset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLANET</td>
<td>DIAM_MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth</td>
<td>7918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jupiter</td>
<td>86881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mars</td>
<td>4212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>3032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neptune</td>
<td>30599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturn</td>
<td>72367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranus</td>
<td>31518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venus</td>
<td>7521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The PLANET_SIZE dataset contains information about the diameter (in miles) of each of the primary planets in the solar system. The PLANET_DIST dataset includes the distance (in astronomical units) of each planet from Earth. Naturally, there is no record in the PLANET_DIST dataset corresponding to Earth itself.

**THE MERGE**

We perform a simple merge on these two datasets, but we neglect to include a BY statement.

```sas
data merge1;
  merge planet_size planet_dist;
run;
```

This produces the resulting MERGE1 dataset shown to the right. Observe that MERGE1 has eight records, but there are two for Venus and none for Earth. Also, note that Jupiter’s diameter has shrunk drastically, while that of Mars has increased. Clearly, this result is undesirable.

**THE EXPLANATION**

Since we did not include a BY statement, SAS performs what is sometimes called a one-to-one merge. Rather than matching up observations based on the value of one or more BY variables, observations are simply paired based on their positions within the original datasets.

This is very rarely what is wanted. The one-to-one merge should only be used very carefully and in situations where there is no need to match observations based on any sort of relationship between the two datasets. The vast majority of circumstances call for a match-merge, which requires a BY statement.

**THE CORRECTION**

By simply including a BY statement in our merge, we can ensure that information is matched up based on the variable PLANET. Note that we need to make sure all input datasets are sorted before we can make use of any BY group processing in a DATA step. In our case, both datasets were already sorted, but here we choose to do so anyway to make the code more robust.

```sas
proc sort data=planet_size; by planet; run;
proc sort data=planet_dist; by planet; run;

data merge1b;
  merge planet_size planet_dist;
  by planet;
run;
```

This modified code produces a correctly merged dataset:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLANET</th>
<th>DIAM_MI</th>
<th>DIST_AU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earth</td>
<td>7918</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jupiter</td>
<td>86881</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mars</td>
<td>4212</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>3032</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neptune</td>
<td>30599</td>
<td>29.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturn</td>
<td>72367</td>
<td>18.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranus</td>
<td>31518</td>
<td>18.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venus</td>
<td>7521</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE LESSON

Obviously, it’s critical that we include a BY statement whenever our intention is to perform a match-merge. Note that SAS did not issue any kind of WARNING or ERROR in response to our missing BY statement. That’s because, as mentioned, there are situations where one might choose to do this deliberately.

However, because this is so rare, it may be wise to consider using the MERGENOBY system option to prevent this from happening inadvertently. The MERGENOBY system option can be set to NOWARN, WARN, or ERROR. Using MERGENOBY=WARN will cause SAS to generate a warning whenever a merge is attempted without a corresponding BY statement. Similarly, MERGENOBY=ERROR will generate an error in such cases. The default is MERGENOBY=NOWARN, which will do nothing.

EXAMPLE 2: SET STATEMENT INSTEAD OF MERGE

THE DATA

Our second example is based on the following two datasets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REGION</th>
<th>SALES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>3700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>6100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>4600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>5500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The SALES dataset contains a sales amount for each of several regions. The MANAGERS dataset contains the last names of the manager for each of the same regions. Conveniently, these datasets are already sorted by REGION, so there is no need for us to sort them prior to combining.

THE MERGE

We combine the two datasets, but we inadvertently use a SET statement instead of a MERGE statement. Such mistakes can occur when programmers are working under pressure and without appropriate quality processes in place, especially when old code is being repurposed for something it wasn’t originally designed to do.

```sas
data merge2;
    set sales managers;
    by region;
run;
```

This code produces the dataset MERGE2 shown to the right. Notice that there are a total of eight records, two for each region. Furthermore, SALES is missing on every other record while MANAGER is missing on the other records.

THE EXPLANATION

Because we used a SET statement rather than a MERGE statement, SAS made no attempt to match up observations based on the values of the BY variable. When a SET statement is used with multiple datasets, those datasets are concatenated. The number of rows in the resulting dataset is the sum of the numbers of rows in the input datasets. When this syntax is used with a BY statement, the concatenation is performed separately for each BY group, resulting an a dataset in which records are interleaved based on the values of the BY variable.
THE CORRECTION

Using a MERGE statement instead of a SET statement will result in records being matched up based on the value of our BY variable, REGION.

```sas
data merge2b;
merge sales managers;
by region;
run;
```

This will produce the desired output, which is a dataset with one row per REGION that contains the appropriate values for both SALES and MANAGER.

THE LESSON

As with our first example, SAS did not issue any kind or ERROR or WARNING as a result of our mistake. That’s because both the SET statement and the MERGE statement are valid ways to combine SAS datasets (among many others). However, they do not generally produce the same results, so it’s important to understand how each one works and be careful to use the correct syntax for your situation.

It’s a good practice to review the SAS log and verify that each output dataset contains the number of rows you expected. Anomalous row counts are often an indication of programming errors or data issues.

EXAMPLE 3: UNMATCHED BY VALUES

THE DATA

For our third example, we will make use of the following datasets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORDERS Dataset</th>
<th>PRODUCTS Dataset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ORDERNUM</strong></td>
<td><strong>ITEMCODE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>B2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ORDERS dataset includes an order number, ORDELRNUM, and an ITEMCODE for each item that is part of the order. The PRODUCTS dataset associates a PRICE with each ITEMCODE.

THE MERGE

To facilitate the calculation of a total price for each order, the ORDERS and PRODUCTS datasets are merged together using the following code. Note that the datasets are first sorted on the common variable ITEMCODE prior to the merge:

```
proc sort data=products; by itemcode; run;
proc sort data=orders; by itemcode; run;

data merge3;
merge orders products;
by itemcode;
run;
```
The resulting dataset, MERGE3, is shown to the right. Note that there are four records, one of which has a missing value for ORDERNUM. Since this record does not pertain to an actual order, we don’t want it in our dataset.

THE EXPLANATION
When datasets are merged using the MERGE statement in a DATA step, a given record in one input dataset may not have corresponding counterparts with matching BY variable values in the other input datasets. However, the DATA step merge selects both records with matching BY variable values as well as nonmatching records from any input dataset. Any variables occurring only in datasets having no records to contribute for a given BY group will simply be missing. For those readers familiar with the terminology typically used when combining datasets in PROC SQL, this is what would be called a full outer join.

THE CORRECTION
In this example, we do not wish to include records from the PRODUCTS dataset having an ITEMCODE that does not match any ITEMCODE in the ORDERS dataset. These records correspond to products that were not ordered and are not relevant. In SQL parlance, we would like a left outer join, not a full outer join.

While there are many ways this can be accomplished in the DATA step, a common approach is to use the IN= dataset option in conjunction with a subsetting IF statement as follows:

```sas
data merge3b;
  merge orders(in=ordered) products;
  by itemcode;
  if ordered;
run;
```

Here, the IN= dataset option creates a temporary variable that indicates whether the corresponding dataset contributed to the current observation. We can specify any valid SAS variable name, but here we chose the name ORDERED. Any records with a value of ITEMCODE that did not appear in the ORDERS dataset will have a value of 0 for ORDERED. Those observations will fail the subsetting IF statement and will not be written to the output dataset. The resulting output dataset, MERGE3B, will have only the three records desired.

THE LESSON
Anytime datasets are being merged, consider whether the output dataset should include any nonmatching observations. You might wish to include all nonmatching records, only nonmatching records originating from a certain dataset or datasets, or no nonmatching records at all. Even if you don’t expect nonmatching records, it’s a good practice in most settings to anticipate future code reuse and proactively handle situations that might reasonably be expected to arise in the future.
EXAMPLE 4: MANY-TO-MANY MERGE

THE DATA

Our fourth example will involve the two datasets shown at right. Each dataset contains nine records. The MENU dataset contains three foods for each of the three meals – breakfast, lunch, and dinner. Similarly, the DRINKLIST dataset contains three drinks associated with each of one of the three meals.

THE MERGE

Our goal in this exercise is to create a list of all the food and drink combinations that are available at each meal. We sort each dataset by MEAL and then perform a merge using MEAL as the BY variable.

```
proc sort data=menu; by meal food; run;
proc sort data=drinklist; by meal drink; run;

data merge4;
merge menu drinklist;
by meal;
run;
```

The resulting dataset, MERGE4, is shown to the right.

Notice that the output dataset has only nine records, three for each meal. There are actually nine possible food and drink combinations for each meal, for a total of 27 combinations. The MERGE4 dataset does not contain all the results we were expecting. For example, we see "omelet" and "coffee" together in the first record, but we do not find "omelet" matched up with either of the other breakfast drinks.

THE EXPLANATION

To understand why we get this result, it is useful to keep in mind that the DATA step is really an implied loop. Each time through the loop, the MERGE statement reads in one additional record from each dataset listed, so long as there are still additional records remaining in the current BY group.

When this DATA step first executes, it begins with the BY group corresponding to MEAL="breakfast". It reads in the first record from MENU (FOOD="omelet") and the first record from DRINKLIST (DRINK="coffee"). When the DATA step loop executes for the second time, it finds that there are still additional records remaining in each dataset for the current BY group, so it reads in the next record from MENU (FOOD="pancakes") and the next record from DRINKLIST (DRINK="juice").

In this manner, the records are paired up based solely upon their position within each BY group. In fact, this behavior is exactly what we saw in the one-to-one merge from the first example, except that here it occurs separately within each BY group. Thus, omelet and coffee are paired up even though there is no
particular relationship between the two except that they both happen to come alphabetically first among breakfast items within their respective datasets.

It’s worth noting that SAS does provide a useful note in the SAS log which gives us some indication that things might not be proceeding as we had intended. This note should not be disregarded lightly:

```
NOTE: MERGE statement has more than one data set with repeats of BY values.
```

**THE CORRECTION**

What we are really looking for here is often referred to as a “Cartesian product” of the two datasets – that is, every possible combination consisting of one record from the first dataset and one record from the second dataset. While there are several ways to perform a Cartesian product within a DATA step, they involve the use of more advanced techniques.

The simplest and most common way of obtaining the Cartesian product of two datasets in SAS is by performing a join using the SQL procedure. In this case, we actually only want a Cartesian product of each respective BY group, which can be accomplished as follows:

```sql
proc sql noprint;
create table merge4b as
    select a.meal, a.food, b.drink
    from menu as a
    join drinklist as b
    on menu.meal = drinklist.meal;
quit;
```

This code produces an output dataset containing 27 rows as shown to the right.

Note that our SELECT statement specifies the MEAL column from the MENU dataset (internally referenced as A), but we could select the MEAL column from the DRINKLIST dataset instead. However, if we simply refer to the MEAL column without specifying a source dataset, we will receive an error indicating an ambiguous column reference has been detected. While it is possible to avoid this error by using the NOWARN option on the PROC SQL statement, this may inadvertently suppress messages we wish to see. Accordingly, it is advisable to explicitly specify the dataset from which each column originates.

**THE LESSON**

They key lesson here is that the many-to-many merge in the DATA step operates much like the one-to-one merge we saw in the first example. In both cases, observations are paired based on their positions in the datasets being merged. While there may be unique applications where this is desirable, it generally does not result in the outcome being sought.
EXAMPLE 5: MISMATCHED BY VARIABLE LENGTHS

THE DATA

For our fifth example, we have the following two SAS datasets:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EMPLOYEES Dataset</th>
<th>SALARIES Dataset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LASTNAME</td>
<td>TITLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard</td>
<td>President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slagle</td>
<td>Custodian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the purposes of this example, it is important to note that the variable LASTNAME has different lengths in the two datasets. In the EMPLOYEES dataset, the length of LASTNAME is 6, while in the SALARIES dataset it is 10.

Notice also that the SALARIES dataset contains an extra record that is not in the EMPLOYEES dataset. Perhaps it is an old record from a terminated employee that was not properly purged from the database. Data is not always as clean in the real world as we would like it to be.

THE MERGE

We perform a simple merge of these two datasets using LASTNAME as the BY variable. Since the datasets are already sorted by LASTNAME, it is not necessary to sort them prior to the merge.

```sas
data merge5;
  merge employees salaries;
  by lastname;
run;
```

The resulting dataset is not what we were expecting:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MERGE5 Dataset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LASTNAME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slagle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have two records with the last name of Brooks, but one of them has the salary information associated with Brookstein. What has gone wrong here? Fortunately, in this case, the SAS log provides a clue:

**WARNING: Multiple lengths were specified for the BY variable lastname by input data sets. This might cause unexpected results.**

Furthermore, if we inspect the properties of the MERGE5 dataset, we will find that the LASTNAME variable there has a length of 6. Thus, the value “Brookstein” was truncated to 6 characters and is now indistinguishable from “Brooks”. 
THE EXPLANATION

In order to explain these strange results, we need to take a look under the hood of the DATA step and discuss the program data vector. The program data vector (PDV) is a temporary location in memory that SAS uses during the normal processing of a DATA step.

The structure of the PDV is determined during DATA step compilation by scanning the DATA step code that was submitted. In our example, since the EMPLOYEES dataset appears first in the code, the variables from the EMPLOYEES dataset and their associated attributes are added first to the PDV. Thus, the variable LASTNAME is assigned a length of 6 in the PDV.

As the scanning continues and the SALARIES dataset is encountered, the compiler recognizes that the PDV already includes a variable called LASTNAME and takes no further action with respect to that variable. The fact that the variable has a different length has no impact on the PDV at that point.

Once the compilation phase is complete and DATA step execution begins, data which are read in using our MERGE statement are placed into the appropriate locations in the PDV. If a value is too long to fit into the corresponding variable in the PDV, it is simply truncated. Thus, in our case, “Brookstein” becomes “Brooks”.

THE CORRECTION

One might think this code could be corrected by using the IN= dataset option discussed earlier to ensure that only records with a corresponding entry in the EMPLOYEES dataset are present in the output dataset. That code would look like this:

```sas
data merge5b;
  merge employees(in=a) salaries;
  by lastname;
  if a;
run;
```

However, this produces the same result as the original DATA step code. Because of the truncation, SAS matches up the Brookstein salary record with the Brooks employee record. Thus, as far as SAS is concerned, both input datasets contributed to the resulting record.

Of course, one could solve this problem by altering the input datasets to ensure that then lengths of shared BY variables match. Another simple solution is to reverse the order of the datasets on the MERGE statement so that the dataset having the longer length associated with the BY variable comes first. However, this may not always be possible in situations with multiple BY variables that have mismatched lengths.

A more proactive solution is to take control of the process by explicitly declaring the desired variable length using a LENGTH statement. It is important that the LENGTH statement appear prior to the MERGE statement in the DATA step so that it will be encountered first by the compiler during the process of constructing the PDV.

```sas
data merge5c;
  length lastname $10;
  merge employees salaries;
  by lastname;
run;
```
This produces the dataset one might have expected in the first place, shown at right.

If one did not wish to include observations based only on one of the input datasets, one could modify the above code using the IN= dataset option and a subsetting IF statement as shown earlier.

THE LESSON

The key lesson from this example is to avoid merging datasets on BY variables having mismatched lengths. Instead, use a LENGTH statement to explicitly control the process. A second lesson is to always check the SAS log carefully and don’t just ignore SAS warnings. See Virgile (2003) for additional discussion of this topic.

EXAMPLE 6: OVERLAPPING VARIABLES

THE DATA

Our sixth example is based on the following two datasets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MERGE5C Dataset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LASTNAME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brookstein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slagle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POSTBASE Dataset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUBJID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The BASELINE dataset contains one observation for each subject, SUBJID, in a research study with a baseline laboratory test value, LBSTRESN. The POSTBASE dataset contains multiple observations for each subject. Each record includes a visit number (VISIT), a lab result from that visit (LBSTRESN), as well as a response variable, RESP.

THE MERGE

Suppose we wish to perform some computation or derivation involving the response at each visit and the baseline lab result. Since it is commonly taught that variables from a dataset further to the right on the MERGE statement overwrite the values of variables from datasets listed earlier, we might be tempted to merge these datasets using the following code.

```sas
data merge6;
  merge postbase baseline;
  by subjid;
run;
```
The result of this operation is not what was intended.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MERGE6 Dataset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUBJID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notice that the first and fourth rows of the resulting dataset include the value of LBSTRESN from the BASELINE dataset while the other rows still include the values from the POSTBASE dataset.

**THE EXPLANATION**

Once again, the explanation of these results involves the Program Data Vector (PDV). As we discussed earlier, the structure of the PDV is determined during DATA step compilation. At execution time, data which are read in using statements such as SET, MERGE, and INPUT are placed into the appropriate locations in the PDV. DATA step statements that manipulate the values of dataset variables are actually interacting with the PDV. When it is time for an output record to be written, the contents of the PDV are copied to the output dataset.

When the first record is read from the POSTBASE dataset, the value of LBSTRESN in the PDV is 85.7. Next, the first record from the BASELINE dataset is read and the value of LBSTRESN in the PDV is overwritten with 90.0. Since this DATA step contains no other executable statements, the PDV is written to the output dataset. Thus, the first record in MERGE6 contains a value of 90.0.

During the next iteration of the DATA step, the MERGE statement reads the second record from POSTBASE. This record contains a value of 94.3 for LBSTRESN, and that value is written to the PDV. Since all of the record for the current BY group (SUBJID=1) have already been read from the BASELINE dataset, the MERGE statement does not read any additional records from BASELINE. As a result, the value of 94.3 for LBSTRESN remains in the PDV, and that is what is written to the output dataset as the second record.

**THE CORRECTION**

If our intention was for the value of LBSTRESN from BASELINE to overwrite all of the values from POSTBASE, we will need to modify our code. One way to solve this problem is to simply drop (or rename) LBSTRESN from the POSTBASE dataset before merging. This can be accomplished as follows.

```
   data merge6b;
   merge postbase(drop=lbstresn) baseline;
   by subjid;
   run;
```

When the MERGE statement reads records from POSTBASE, there will be no LBSTRESN variable to read since it has already been dropped from the input dataset. Consequently, all values of LBSTRESN in the output dataset will be those read from BASELINE.

**THE LESSON**

When merging datasets, it is necessary that there be some variables in common on which to merge. These are the BY variables. When the datasets have additional variables in common aside from the BY variables, these are often referred to as overlapping variables. In general, it is best to avoid overlapping
variables to prevent problems like the one described above. Drop (or rename) any overlapping variables so that each occurs in only one of the datasets being merged.

**EXAMPLE 7: AUTOMATIC RETAIN**

**THE DATA**

For our final example, we have two SAS datasets containing data, once again pertaining to medical research. The DEMOG dataset contains demographic information such as the patient’s age and weight. This information is recorded only once at the beginning of the study, so there is only one record per patient. The VITALS dataset contains vital signs measurements such as heart rate. These measurements are recorded at each study visit, so there can be multiple records per patient.

Both datasets include a patient identification number which provides a unique key to the data. The VITALS dataset also includes a visit number. The combination of the patient identification number and the visit number uniquely identifies a particular record.

**THE MERGE**

We wish to merge these two datasets. We also wish to convert the patient’s weight from pounds to kilograms. We write the following SAS code:

```
data merge7;
  merge demog vitals;
  by subjid;
  weight = weight / 2.2;
run;
```

As expected, the dataset resulting from the merge contains 5 variables and 6 records.

**VITALS Dataset**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBJID</th>
<th>VISIT</th>
<th>HEART</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DEMOG Dataset**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBJID</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MERGE7 Dataset**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBJID</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
<th>VISIT</th>
<th>HEART</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>84.090909091</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>38.223140496</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>77.272727273</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>35.123966942</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>15.965439519</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>72.727272727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unfortunately, a careful inspection of the WEIGHT variable reveals a serious error. Notice that the value of WEIGHT changes for each record, even within the same patient. This is clearly not the desired result.
THE EXPLANATION

Once again, we turn our attention to the Program Data Vector (PDV). As mentioned previously, there are two distinct phases to running SAS code: compilation and execution. To understand what has gone wrong, we’ll walk step-by-step through the process of compiling and executing this code.

Compilation

As SAS compiles our example code above, the first statement that affects construction of the PDV is the MERGE statement. The first dataset listed on the MERGE statement is DEMOG, which includes three variables: SUBJID, AGE, and WEIGHT. All three are included in the PDV using the same attributes (length, format, label, etc.) present in the input dataset. The next dataset listed is VITALS, which includes three variables: SUBJID, VISIT, and HEART. Since, SUBJID is already on the PDV, only the latter two are added.

Upon the completion of DATA step compilation, the following PDV structure is in place. Note that no actual values have been written to the PDV yet. That will occur during the execution phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable:</th>
<th>SUBJID</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
<th>VISIT</th>
<th>HEART</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value:</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Execution

As we discuss the execution of the DATA step, it is important to remember that a DATA step is essentially a loop. The statements in the DATA step are executed repeatedly until certain conditions are met that cause execution to terminate. One such condition is a SET or MERGE statement that runs out of new records to read from all of the input datasets listed within the statement. In the meantime, the contents of the PDV are written to the specified output dataset each time execution returns to the top of the DATA step (unless you override this behavior using statements such as OUTPUT).

As our example code begins, the first statement to execute is the MERGE statement. Since the DEMOG dataset is listed first, the first record from DEMOG is read into the PDV. Next, the first record from the VITALS dataset is read. Since both datasets contain the SUBJID variable, the value from VITALS overwrites what had been previously read from DEMOG. Fortunately, since SUBJID is a BY variable, it has the same value on both datasets. Once the MERGE statement has executed for the first time, the PDV looks like this:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable:</th>
<th>SUBJID</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
<th>VISIT</th>
<th>HEART</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The next statement to execute is our weight conversion. This statement reads the value of WEIGHT from the PDV, divides it by 2.2, and then writes the result back to the PDV. After this statement executes, we have the following PDV:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable:</th>
<th>SUBJID</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
<th>VISIT</th>
<th>HEART</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>84.0909</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We have now reached the bottom of the DATA step. Execution returns to the top and the current contents of the PDV are written to the ALLDATA dataset. So far, everything is proceeding exactly as expected.

**Automatic Retain**

There is a common misconception that the values in the PDV are reset to missing when execution returns to the top of the DATA step. This is only true for variables which are assigned values by an INPUT or assignment statement (unless overridden by a RETAIN statement). For variables read with a SET, MERGE, MODIFY, or UPDATE statement, the values are automatically retained from one iteration of the DATA step to the next.

In our example, all of the variables on the PDV were read with a MERGE statement, so all values are retained. When the second iteration of the DATA step begins, the PDV looks just like it did when the first iteration ended.

Next, the MERGE statement executes again. Since the DEMOG dataset does not contain any more records for the current BY group (SUBJID = 1), nothing is read from DEMOG. There is still one record for the current BY group in the VITALS dataset, so the values from that record are copied to the PDV. Since nothing was read from DEMOG, the existing values of AGE and WEIGHT survive. The PDV now has the following state:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable:</th>
<th>SUBJID</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
<th>VISIT</th>
<th>HEART</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>84.0909</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now we come once again to the weight conversion statement. The current value of WEIGHT (84.0909) is read from the PDV and divided by 2.2, and the result (38.2231) is written back to the PDV. Having reached the end of the DATA step, the contents of the PDV are written out as the second record of the output dataset.

At last we have uncovered the source of our problem. The value of WEIGHT is read only once for each BY group, while the weight conversion statement executes once for each iteration of the DATA step. The WEIGHT continues to be divided by 2.2 repeatedly until the end of the BY group is reached.

**THE CORRECTION**

Now that we understand what is causing this unexpected behavior, what can we do about it? The safest and most conservative option is to limit all merges to the required statements and perform additional processing in a separate DATA step.

```sas
data merge7b1;
    merge demog vitals;
    by subjid;
run;

data merge7b;
    set merge7b1;
    weight = weight / 2.2;
run;
```

However, it is not always necessary to take such drastic action. This merge can be made to perform as expected within a single DATA step by simply renaming one of the input variables as follows:
data merge7c(drop=weight_lbs);
  merge demog(rename=(weight=weight_lbs)) vitals;
  by subjid;
  weight = weight_lbs / 2.2;
run;

As shown below, this modified code produces the output dataset we were expecting. Since WEIGHT_LBS is retained but not modified, each record within a given BY group will have the same value of WEIGHT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBJID</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
<th>VISIT</th>
<th>HEART</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>84.090909091</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>84.090909091</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>77.272727273</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>77.272727273</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>77.272727273</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>72.727272727</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

THE LESSON

It is advisable to be very careful when adding complex logic to a DATA step that performs a merge. One should clearly understand how the PDV works and the ramifications of the automatic retain. If these concepts are unclear, or one simply wishes to play it safe, move the additional logic to a separate DATA step.

CONCLUSION

Merging datasets is one of the most basic and common functions performed in SAS. However, the underlying procedure is more complex than it might first appear. Even the most skilled programmer can sometimes overlook subtle traps. Thus, it is advisable to habitually practice certain programming techniques to defend against these errors:

1. Don’t merge without a BY statement unless you know exactly what you are doing, and consider using the MERGENOBY=ERROR option to avoid doing so inadvertently.
2. Don’t merge using the SET statement unless your intent is to concatenate the datasets rather than to match-merge them.
3. Think about which records you want to keep in the dataset resulting from your merge: all records coming from any input dataset, or only those having BY variable values found in a certain input dataset or combination of input datasets. Explicitly control this using the IN=dataset option in conjunction with a subsetting IF statement.
4. Avoid performing a many-to-many merge (where multiple datasets have repeats of the same BY variable) unless you know exactly what you are doing. Use the SQL procedure when you need a Cartesian product.
5. Always set the length explicitly when merging on a BY variable with mismatched lengths, or avoid the situation in the first place.
6. Don’t merge with overlapping variables unless there is a specific reason you need to do so, and then only with full knowledge of how the merge actually works.
7. Avoid adding additional statements beyond those required for the merge: the DATA statement, the MERGE statement, the BY statement, possibly a subsetting IF statement, and of course the RUN statement. If this is too cumbersome, then at the very least, refrain from modifying the values of existing variables from an input dataset in a merge.

Finally, it is imperative for an effective SAS programmer to be equipped with a thorough understanding of the internal workings of the DATA step to avoid mistakes like the ones discussed in this paper. See Johnson (2012) or Li (2013) for a comprehensive treatment of the program data vector and Virgile (2000) for additional discussion of the PDV specifically as it relates to merging.

REFERENCES


CONTACT INFORMATION

Your comments and questions are valued and encouraged. Contact the author at:

Joshua M. Horstman
Nested Loop Consulting
317-721-1009
josh@nestedloopconsulting.com